THE DREISER NEWSLETTER

olume Seventeen, Number Two

Fall, 1986

THE TANGLED WEB: OFFSTAGE ACTING IN SISTER CARRIE

hilip L. Gerber w York State University allege at Brockport

In sister Carrie the drive to become what one is not serts itself repeatedly as Dreiser exploits the human clination to dissimulate. Carrie herself stands always at the center of this pretense, and since we know practically of thing of her pre-Chicago days, we begin with her train ride to the city, where she begins innocently enough, playing the live village maiden opposite the wily advances of Charles couet, who himself knowingly adopts the stereotypical role of the "masher" bent on seduction. Eventually, Carrie does become a famous actress, but by the time she enters upon her tage career she is prepared for professional role-playing the service of the career she is prepared for professional role-playing the service of the career she is prepared for professional role-playing the career she caree

Carrie's pretenses at first are small ones, of a hesitant and socially prudent nature. Upon arriving in Chicago, for a stance, she very understandably does not want it known that he, a single girl of eighteen away from home for the first ime, has encouraged a male stranger to strike up an acquaint-aceship with her, so she sends Drouet on his way before meeting her sister Minnie; and once seeing the shabbiness of the ansons' flat, she fibs to Drouet that he may not come calling scause her sister's place is too small a place for her to atertain company. Sven and Minnie Hanson are prominent among he few characters in the novel who forswear pretension. They xist in utter economic reality on a bottom rung of the uccess ladder but hope to improve their lot over an extended

period through hard work and strict economy. Sven is employed in the Chicago stockyards, which manufacture products for the nation's households that range from tinned ham to combs of bone to soap and pure leaf lard. Laboring a long day cleaning refrigerator cars while his wife keeps the flat and worries about stretching eighty cents to cover the cost of dinner, Sven and Minnie act as effective foils for Carrie. Their poverty-haunted honesty is an affront to her vague but highflying ambitions and, having discovered their utterly prudent nature, she takes every precaution to shield her innermost thoughts from them.

Carrie is a natural mimic. A total neophyte in jobhunting, she very closely observes the girls who have employment in the department stores and begins to act and look as much like them as she can manage. At last locating a job, albeit of a menial type, she dons her first calculated costume. a blouse of dotted blue percale, a skirt of light brown serge, old shoes and crumpled necktie. With a straw hat atop her brown curls, she sallies forth, "a very average looking shop girl."1 Subsequently, whenever she changes roles, new costumes become a regular accompaniment to the pretense. Her first grueling day at the sweatshop ended, she changes clothes with the prospect that Drouet might come calling; and when she is outfitted anew, we are told that she appears "a rather sweet little being with large eyes and a sad mouth" (57). Unfortunately for her, Drouet does not appear on this evening, so she has costumed herself in vain. The Hansons take Carrie walking in Garfield Park, but as she observes the costumes worn by the other girls she is far from pleased; her clothes do not look good enough.

All of these actions are explained readily enough; they are contained within a normal range, in line with average human wants. But Carrie takes a step into deeper waters after losing her job at the shoe factory. Unable to find other work and lacking the moral strength to admit her failure at home, she claims to have been promised a place at the prestigious Boston Store. That lie of convenience is a dangerous one. pressures her to escape from its natural consequences by accepting Drouet's offer of money; and that act leads at once to her acceptance of additional "help," which involves going off to live with him. It becomes essential for Carrie to assume a wholly new persona. Until now she has been known as Minnie Hanson's sister. But Drouet escorts her on a shopping tour and outfits her properly for a different role in new shoes, purse, gloves, and the fashionable tan jacket with mother-of-pearl buttons she has lusted after. "Now you're my sister" (80), he announces, and before she knows it he has installed her under that pseudonym in a rooming house.

andons her sister's home in the dark of night, leaving a plicitous note that reveals no trace of her new role and does t include a forwarding address.

Carrie's status is soon "upgraded" into that of mistress. casting-manager Drouet, who installs her in a nice little at in Ogden Place; "You're Mrs. Drouet now" (105), says he, though marriage is not in the cards. She is content for the me being to play the role in which she is cast. In the pier ass of her new flat, she sees two persons, one a prettier rl than she has ever seen before,2 the other a worse; but nce she has only "an average little conscience" (103) she is t bothered morally for long and goes about playing 'Mrs. ouet" in earnest. She does not love the salesman, but of urse pretends to care deeply, aided through her discovery by rewd observation of exactly what type of girl it is that ouet most admires: pretty, stylish in costume, chin carried gh, body swinging with grace. Drouet escorts Carrie often the Chicago playhouses, and at home before her mirror she -enacts the dramatic situations she has witnessed on stage, ending the theatrical with the real as she imitates the wements and expressions and even the dialogue of various igenues whose performances she and Drouet have admired. eing that Drouet is held by the self-conscious swaying of a .rl's hips as she strolls along, Carrie determines to fit that "If that was so fine, she must look into it more Instinctively, she felt a desire to imitate it. rely she could do that too" (112). Her talent for mimicry erves Carrie well, and she is set for a long and successful un in this "real-life" drama--until she meets George irstwood.

Now the cast expands to include three players in a riangular plot. Drouet and Hurstwood both earn their daily read as "actors," in a sense, being engaged not in typical roduction jobs in industrial Chicago, but in the emerging ervice industries. Drouet is a salesman and Hurstwood an fficial "greeter" in a grand saloon. Of these two actors, rouet is the more limited in range. He plays to perfection he one-dimensional, stereotyped drummer, the "jolly salesman" 114):

He was vain, he was boastful, he was as deluded by fine clothes as any silly-headed girl. . . . His fine success as a salesman lay in his geniality. . . . He bobbed about among men, a veritable bundle of enthusiasm--no power worthy the name of intellect, no thoughts worthy the adjective noble, no feelings long continued in one vein. (72)

As a traveling representative of Bartlett, Caryoe & Company, Drouet assumes a suitably-flashy drummer's costume: striped or cross-patterned suit, shirt with white and pink stripes, oversize gold cufflinks set with yellow agates, seal rings on his fingers, gold watch chain with an Elks Lodge insignia dangling across his vest, grey fedora hat, and yellow shoes, highly shined. While we do see Drouet perform often as actor/manager in his domestic drama, casting his major actress, Carrie, as sister, wife, and then as betrayer, we never observe him at work in his professional acting role of Dreiser holds to his single set--Chicago--and Drouet seems always to be "on tour" with his one-man "show" as he travels throughout the upper midwest playing for his various commercial "audiences," sometimes taking Carrie with But from time to time he comes home to tell him on the train. of well-received performances given in the hotels and shops of Omaha or Rock Island. He is fond of re-enacting for Carrie his various triumphs, and when at one point she inquires how his show has gone over in La Crosse, he describes how he successfully upstaged a rival and secured a customer: fine; sold him a complete line. There was another fellow there, representing Burnstein, a regular hook-nosed sheeny. but he wasn't much. I made him look like nothing at all." Drouet is type-cast; he plays his part superbly and will continue to play it "until he [is] dead" (137), through the years growing only more practiced and thus more successful at peddling himself and whatever wares he represents.

George Hurstwood is a considerably more subtle actor, and we do see him as he performs, for he is employed in Chicago, where his natural abilities have placed him rather high on the ladder of economic status. His job as manager of Fitzgerald and Moy's saloon requires that he modify his role continually. The saloon itself has most of the accoutrements of a theater-bright lights, rich costumes, and action which is mostly pretend—and Hurstwood is "on stage" all the time, seeing and being seen by a broad spectrum of prosperous clients: politicians, merchants, and professional actors, as well as lesser lights such as Drouet. Hurstwood's manner alters according to the person he is addressing. His black eyes typically convey a look of "cold make-believe" (51), but they can also fill with warmth to the degree demanded by the occasion:

He had a finely graduated scale of informality and friendship, which improved from the "How do you do?" addressed to the fifteen-dollar-a-week clerks and office attaches, to the "Why old man, how are you?" which he addressed to those noted or rich individuals who knew him and were inclined to be friendly. (49-50)

rstwood's job, Dreiser says, is intimately bound up with reating a good impression" (49), which is precisely what an tor does; and with the very rich, famous, or successful, rstwood has yet a finer persona to advance, one which is ave, dignified, and deferential. On another level entirely and a middle range of habitues (which includes Drouet) with om he need not dissemble so greatly but can act more like mself, indulging in long conversations on their mutual terests in horse-racing or the theater. He is accustomed to aying the chameleon.

Like Drouet in his role as drummer, Hurstwood, it is said, ook[s] the part" (48) of the successful manager that he is. s demeanor has much to do with creating this impression, but s costume, too, is impeccable, and this is what first presses Carrie. When Hurstwood invites her and Drouet to company him to a play and she has a good opportunity to serve and compare the two men on the level of costume, irstwood wins hands down. Drouet's suits not only are blatant their flashiness, but he favors fabrics so new they "creak." irstwood's are of "medium stiffness" (107), always just ight, and by this time Carrie has developed sufficiently in ne matter of "taste" to see and appreciate the difference. pove his vest of "rich Scotch plaid" Hurstwood displays a ilken cravat which is "not loud, not inconspicuous" (107); at it is their footwear which particularly sets the two men part. Drouet's shoes of brilliantly polished patent leather ppear sadly gauche to Carrie as they contrast with Hurstwood's f black calf, soft and gleaming with their unobtrusive shine. he is greatly swayed by this facade.

As the love triangle deepens, all three players dissemble, ut Carrie and Hurstwood, who are more naturally expert, retend to the point of deception. The stakes are high. ouseholds are involved, for Hurstwood is married and mainains a fine brick home on the North Side of Chicago, where he ives with Julia, his wife, and his son and daughter, George, r., and Jessica. They are a family of pretenders, the lot of hem. Mrs. Hurstwood puts on airs, aspiring to a rung on the ocial ladder above her own; Jessica is much the same, forever umicking the truly wealthy girls at her high school. George, r., like his father, is involved in a service industry (realestate) and with the family is secretive, "not laying bare his lesires for anyone to see" (94). At age nineteen (only a year older than Carrie) and living at home, he plays constantly at convincing others that he is a man with a man's privileges, but fools no one.

Hurstwood becomes seriously involved with Carrie only after she has made a success at acting in the benefit staged by

Drouet's Elks Lodge; until that moment he has only pretended to love her, purely as a means of seduction, his object being a backstreet romance. Now, however, infatuated with her stage persona and ardently courting her, he encounters problems of a new nature. Drouet and Carrie have pretended to be married, but Carrie is led to speculate on whether Drouet has revealed this pretense to Hurstwood. He has not done so in a literal sense; but Hurstwood has picked up on clues and suspects this to be the case; however, since he has feigned a belief in Carrie's married state, he finds that he has to carry out the part. He spends long hours at 29 Ogden Place and takes Carrie for buggy rides in the Chicago area, at the same moment continuing to court respectability with his dignity of manner, his unblemished record of decorum, and his conventional family life. Reputation is all-important, both at home and abroad.

Hurstwood is not quite as circumspect as he ought to be, however; at home he finds that he must somehow explain his various tardy appearances at dinner and his outright absences, and he does so by some rather bold lying. Julia Hurstwood, herself said to have "many a thought of her own which never found expression even by so much as the glint of an eye" (123), has found her husband out-she thinks. calculating, she bides her time, and eventually the strategic moment arrives for her grand ploy. She has made two discoveries which belie her husband's appearance of innocence. One is that Hurstwood has been spotted riding in a carriage accompanied by an unidentified woman. "Perhaps it was your daughter, u suggests the physician who mentions this incident Julia becomes instantly artful, inquiring whether the sighting had by any chance occurred in the afternoon; upon hearing that, yes, it had, she replies that of course it was Jessica, while knowing full well that Jessica had been occupied elsewhere. Another sighting reports Hurstwood uncharacteristically indiscreet in being seen with friends at Carrie's benefit performance, but without his wife. Mrs. Hurstwood lies, pleading that she had not been feeling well that day, only to be told that, yes, that is precisely the excuse Hurstwood had offered for her absence. Challenged by his wife, Hurstwood bluffs, assuming a lord-of-the-manner tone and wondering whether there might be any solid evidence against him, then understanding from Julia's manner that for once she is not pretending. He attempts one final and futile bluff, storming out of the house in response to his wife's threat to see a lawyer, a threat he mistakenly assumes to be pretense.

Meanwhile Drouet and Carrie are involved in their own domestic dissimulation, Carrie having pretended to be true to the salesman while actually pledging herself to the manager upon his pretense of intending to marry her. When Drouet

covers that Hurstwood has visited the apartment while he been away on a selling trip, he bribes the chambermaid er the pretense of admiring her ring in order to discover truth about the number of Hurstwood's repeated visits, ch Carrie has deliberately minimized. Here Dreiser begins play upon the word act, as Drouet thinks: "Look how they ed!" and "She did act sort of funny at times" (219, hasis added). Drouet simulates indifference to Hurstwood's its, while setting a trap to uncover the full truth about a relationship. Like Mrs. Hurstwood, he is himself acting, with less skill. And Hurstwood, that "starched and eventional poser" (116), by his continued silence to Carrie Icerning his own marital state, has been flying under false lors all along.

On the brink of having her infidelities discovered, Carrie esses Hurstwood for marriage; the irony is that she no more wes him than she has loved Drouet, but sees the new mection as being more advantageous. "How can you act this y, dearest?" (224) inquires Hurstwood, continuing the act in a mockery of being wounded by her demand. He drops his rriage pretense and presses her to rum away with him, but rrie shakes her head "as if in deep thought" (224, emphasis ded). Now both are reading as if from a prepared script, giving "no sign" (224) of the true thoughts that pass rough his brain, Carrie still wondering in hers whether it worthwhile trying to maintain her untenable pretense of ing married.

When Drouet springs his trap on Carrie, asking leading estions with studied nonchalance, she responds: "You act so But the cat is soon out of the bag. nny to-night" (224). ouet announces Hurstwood to be a married man, and Carrie, to for some time has only been pretending to be true to ouet (and who must have known or suspected Hurstwood to be erried), accuses Drouet of having deceived her in bringing te manager home to visit under false pretenses. litiates his grand plan, a threat to abandon her; "he etended to take up the task of packing some things in a ilise" (251), taking much longer at this simple and needfullypontaneous act than any truly injured man would do as he lits for Carrie to confess, throw herself on his mercy, and But she, casting herself now in the role of comise to reform. ijured party, is engaged in attempting to decide which man is ne more grievous deceiver, he or Hurstwood, and refuses to peak a word about making domestic peace. "What's the use of cting like that now, Cad?" (253) asks Drouet; jamming his ffects into his grip, he storms out, to take up bachelor esidence at the Palmer House--where Hurstwood, turned out of oors by Julia, also has taken a room.

Carrie is nonplussed, wondering whether Hurstwood has forsaken her, puzzling over whether she will have to quit the comfortable rooms Drouet has furnished for her. She decides that the salesman's departure has been no more than pretense: "He only acted as if he were huffy" (267) and will soon be back on bended knee. Drouet, who ironically has developed a true affection for Carrie, does come back, on still another pretext, that of gathering more of his belongings to take to the hotel. Actually he is hopeful of encountering Carrie at home and somehow patching up the rift between them. But she is not in the apartment, has, in fact, gone off to the theater for some professionally melodramatic make-believe. It is just as well, for the relationship is broken irrevocably and the stage set for Hurstwood's most daring ploy; threatened with a divorce suit and under intense mental pressure, he steals ten thousand dollars from his employer's safe. In playing the criminal, he miscasts himself disastrously in a role for which he is unsuited either by temperament or experience. He comes for Carrie in the middle of the night under the guise of escorting her to a hospital where, supposedly, Drouet lies injured in an accident and is calling for her.

So utterly convincing an actor is Hurstwood at this point—and so caught off her guard is the genuinely distraught Carrie—that the next thing she knows she is on the night train for Montreal and a mock—wedding, and then New York, where she will live under the pretend—name of "Mrs. George Wheeler" and engage in still further dissimulation. In this manner, through "real life" theatricals played by people whose days are spent acting with and against one another, Dreiser effectively achieves an artistic counterpoint for the major action in Carrie's life—her rise to prominence on the professional stage, true home of illusion and ambiguity.

¹Theodore Dreiser, Sister Carrie (New York, Boni & Liveright, 1917), 37. Future quotations from the novel will be indicated parenthetically after the quote.

A DREISER CHECKLIST, 1985

rederic E. Rusch Idiana State University

This checklist covers work on Dreiser in 1985 plus a umber of items omitted from previous checklists. I wish to hank Shigeo Mizuguchi for providing the information on Dreiser tudies published in Japan.

- . NEW EDITIONS, TRANSLATIONS AND REPRINTS OF DREISER'S WORKS
- elected Magazine Articles of Theodore Dreiser: Life and Art in the American 1890s. Ed. with intro. and notes by Yoshinobu Hakutani. Rutherford: Fairleigh Dickinson Univ. Press, 1985.
- You Must Take Him Out in the Full of the Moon," excerpt from Dawn, rpt. in Ever Since Eve: Personal Reflections on Childbirth. Ed. Nancy Caldwell Sorel. New York: Oxford Univ. Press, 1984, pp. 134-36.
- NEW DREISER STUDIES AND NEW STUDIES THAT INCLUDE DREISER
- nderson, David D. "Chicago Cityscapes by Theodore Dreiser, Sherwood Anderson, and Saul Bellow," *Midwestern Miscellany* XIII (1985), 43-49.
- Sader, Rudolph. "Dreiser's Sister Carrie, More Pupil than Victim," International Fiction Review, 12 (1985), 74-78.
- Bardeleben, Renate von. "Late Tribute to Dreiser in Germany,"

 Dreiser Newsletter, 16 (Spring 1985), 18-19.
- Baturin, S[ergei] S. "Teodor Draizer," in Dzhon Steinbek i traditsii amerikanskoi literatury [John Steinbeck and the Tradition of American Literature]. Moskva: Khudozhestvenaya Literatura, 1984, pp. 252-305.
- Bell, Millicent. "The Myth of Self-Making," in From Rags to Riches: Le Mythe du self-made man. Intro. Serge Ricard. Aix-en-Provence: Pubs. Univ. de Provence, 1984, pp. 57-70.
- Bowlby, Rachel. Just Looking: Consumer Culture in Dreiser, Gissing and Zola. New York: Methuen, 1985.

- Colin, Michael. "Putting Words in Mencken's Mouth," Menckeniana, No. 96 (Winter 1985), 4-5.
- Dowell, Richard W. "Dreiser No Poker Expert Either," Dreiser Newsletter, 16 (Spring 1985), 13-17.
- Fine, Richard. Hollywood and the Profession of Authorship, 1928-1940. Ann Arbor: UMI Research Press, 1985, pp. 58-59, 69, et passim.
- Fine, Richard A. "Theodore Dreiser's 'Revolt': An Unpublished Screenplay," Literature/Film Quarterly, 13 (1985), 118-26.
- Fisher, Philip. Hard Facts: Setting and Form in the American Novel. New York: Oxford Univ. Press, 1985.
- Fishkin, Shelley Fisher. From Fact to Fiction: Journalism and Imaginative Writing in America. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, 1985, pp. 85-134.
- Galbraith, John Kenneth. "Reading about the Rich," New York Times Book Review, 21 Oct. 1984, pp. 1, 54-55.
- Goodin, George. "The Flawed Victim," in The Poetics of Protest: Literary Form and Political Implication in the Victim-of-Society Novel. Carbondale: Southern Illinois Univ. Press, 1985, pp. 87-132.
- Griffin, Joseph. "Dreiser's Later Sketches," Dreiser Newsletter, 16 (Fall 1985), 1-13.
- _____. The Small Canvas: An Introduction to Dreiser's Short Stories. Rutherford: Fairleigh Dickinson Univ. Press, 1985.
- Harap, Louis. "Jews in American Drama, 1900-1918," American Jewish Archives, 36 (1984), 136-50.
- Hidaka, Masayoshi. "An Interpretation of Sister Carrie," Studies in Foreign Literatures (Japan), 63 (1984), 157-89.
- Hindus, Milton. "Epic, 'Action-Poem,' Cartoon: Charles Reznikoff's Testimony: The United States, 1885-1915," in Charles Reznikoff: Man and Poet. Ed. Milton Hindus. Orono: Nat. Poetry Foundation, Univ. of Maine at Orono, 1984, 309-324.
- Hochman, Barbara. "Goethe's Faust: A Leitmotif in Dreiser's The 'Genius'," Dreiser Newsletter, 16 (Spring 1985), 1-12.

- oward, June. Form and History in American Literary Naturalism. Chapel Hill: Univ. of North Carolina Press, 1985, pp. 41-50, 99-102, 106 111, 149-156, et passim.
- -Dailly, Christophe. L' autobiographie dans L'oeuvre romanesque de Theodore Dreiser. Abidjan: Nouvelles Editions Africaines, 1984.
- wamoto, Iwao. "Jenî to Kyarî -Doraisa no Atarashii Joseizô [Jennie and Carrie--Dreiser's Portraits of New Women]," in Amerika Bungaku no Hiroin [Heroines in American Literature]. Ed. Iwao Iwamoto and Takeshi Morita.

 Tokyo: Rîberu-Shuppan, 1984, pp. 75-86.
- Kerner, David. "Counterfeit Hemingway: A Small Scandal in Quotation Marks," Journal of Modern Literature, 12 (1985), 91-108.
- Vitchell, Lee Clark. "'And Then Rose for the First Time':
 Repetition and Doubling in An American Tragedy," Novel:
 A Forum on Fiction, 19 (1985), 39-56.
- Mizuguchi, Shigeo. "Doraisa no Toride [Theodore Dreiser's The Bulwark]," St. Paul's Review (Arts and Letters) (Japan), 43 (1984), 57:102.
 - st. Paul's Review (Arts and Letters) (Japan), 44 (1985), 46-69.
- Mori, Itaru. "Kyoiin no Mitotsu no Kaishaku--'kagaku Hanno' to 'Baransu' [An Interpretation of The Titan--'Chemistry' and 'Balance']," Journal of the Society of English and American Literature: Kwansei Gakuin University (Japan), 29, no. 1 (1984), 294-305.
- Munson, Gorham. The Awakening Twenties: A Memoir-History of a Literary Period. Baton Rouge: Louisiana State Univ. Press, 1985, passim.
- Murayama, Kiyohiko. "Doraisâ [Dreiser]," *The Rising Generation* (Japan), Literary Scholarship Special Number (1984), 106-08.
 - . "Penshiruvania-Ban Shisutâ Kyarî ni Tsuite [On the Pennsylvania Edition of Sister Carrie]," Gengo Bunka (Japan) 22 (1985), 81-87.
- O'Neill, John P. "'My Brother Paul' and Sister Carrie,"

 Canadian Review of American Studies, 16 (1985), 411-24.

- Palusci, Oriana. "Due strategie narrative a confronto in An American Tragedy," Studi Americani, 25-26 (1979-80), 117-34.
- Pizer, Donald. "Theodore Dreiser," in *Dictionary of Literary Biography*. Vol. 12. Eds. Donald Pizer and Earl N. Harbett. Detroit: Gale Research, 1982, pp. 145-65.
- Riggio, Thomas P. "Dreiser and Mencken: In the Literary Trenches," American Scholar, 54 (1985), 227-38.
- Rusch, Frederic E. "A Dreiser Checklist 1983-84," Dreiser Newsletter, 16 (Fall 1985), 14-22.
- Stein, Gordon, ed. The Encyclopedia of Unbelief. Vol. 1. Buffalo: Prometheus Books, 1985, p. 12.
- Sugawara, Fumihiko. "Amerika no Hiqeki ni Tsuite--Draisâ to kuraido--[On An American Traqedy--Dreiser and Clyde--]," Rikkyô Revyû (Japan), 13 (1984), 26-41.
- Taguchi, Seiichi. "Dreiser's View of Spiritual Value in Sister Carrie and Jennie Gerhardt," Kyushu American Literature (Japan), 25 (1984), 78-81.
- "Theodore Dreiser House," Society for German-American Studies Newsletter, 5 (1984), 11.
- West, James L. W., III. A Sister Carrie Portfolio. Charlottesville: Univ. Press of Virginia, 1985.

III. REPRINTS OF EARLIER DREISER STUDIES

- Cerf, Bennett. Remarks on Dreiser in At Random. New York:
 Random House, 1977, rpt. as "Theodore Dreiser" in As
 Others Saw Them: Observations of Eminent Literary Figures
 by Their Contemporaries. Ed. Lyle Larsen. Jefferson, NC:
 McFarland, 1985, pp. 53-54.
- Cowley, Malcolm. "Dreiser: Genius in the Raw," Book Week, 25 April 1965, rpt. in The Flower and the Leaf: A Contemporary Record of American Writing Since 1941. Ed. Donald W. Faulkner. New York: Viking, 1985, pp. 304-08.
- Huneker, James Gibbons. "The Seven Arts," rev. of *The Titan*, *Puck*, 27 June 1914, rpt. in James Gibbons Huneker, *Americans in the Arts*, *1890-1920*. Ed. Arnold T. Schwab. New York: AMS Press, 1985, pp. 332-33.
- Huneker, James Gibbons. "The Seven Arts," rev. of The 'Genius',

Puck, 12 Feb. 1916, rpt. in James Gibbons Humeker, Americans in the Arts, 1890-1920. Ed. Arnold T. Schwab. New York: AMS Press, 1985, pp. 333 34.

IV. ABSTRACTS OF DISSERTATIONS AND THESES ON AND INCLUDING DREISER

- lakely, Carolyn Frazier. "Naturalism in the Novels of Theodore Dreiser," DAI, 45 (1985), 2874A (Oklahoma State).
- 'eldman, Leonard Mark. "A Matter of Money: Money and the World of the American Novel, 1893-1940," DAT, 42 (1982), 3599-3600A (UCLA).
- World; or, the Missing Chapter in Dreiser's Life, 1894-1906," DAI, 46 (1985), 981A-82A (Maryland).
- Hakim, Ziad. "Materialism in the Novels of Howells, James and Dreiser," DAI, 45 (1985). 2527A (So. Illinois, Carbondale).
- Hanley, Richard Eugene. "Place to Place: A Study of the Movement Between the City and Country in Selected Twentieth-Century American Fiction," DAT, 42 (1981), 2130A·31A (SUNY, Binghamton).
- Seidman, Barbara Ann. "The Filmgoing Imagination: Filmmaking and Filmgoing as the Subjects of Modern American Literature," DAI, 42 (1982), 4827A-28A (Illinois).
- Sobal, Nancy Lee. "Curing and Caring: A Literary View of Professional Medical Women," DAI, 45 (1984), 1754A (Cincinnati).
- Thompson, Paula Carlene. "The Decline of Daisy: Fiction and American Womanhood," DAI, 44 (1984), 2768A-69A (Ohio State).

REVIEWS

A SECONDARY BIBLIOGRAPHY

Theodore Dreiser and the Critics, 1911-1982: A Bibliography with Selective Annotations, by Jeanetta Boswell. Scarecrow Author Bibliographies No. 73. Scarecrow Press, 1986. x + 306 pp. \$27.50.

Since her retirement from the faculty of the University of Texas, Arlington, Jeanetta Boswell has made a career of compiling author bibliographies for the Scarecrow Press. Theodore Dreiser and the Critics, 1911-1982 is her sixth, having been preceded by similar works on Emerson (1979), Whitman (1980), Melville (1981), Thoreau (co-author, 1981) and Hawthorne (1982).

This secondary bibliography follows what might be called the traditional arrangement for author bibliographies: following a list of anonymous works, items are organized alphabetically by the critics' last names. Under each critic, Boswell cites, in chronological order, works by the critic first, works edited next and works co-authored last. Commenting on the scope of her bibliography in her introduction, Boswell states:

For the most part I have dealt with English criticism published in America, but . . . there are some exceptions in cases of well-known European critics whose work has been translated into English or who have sometimes written in the English language. For the most part I have not listed reviews of Dreiser's works which were written for magazines and newspapers when the books were first published. . . . I have listed reviews when the authors had other important essays or when the reviewer has an otherwise well-established reputation.

After the bibliography, the compiler adds an index to coauthors, editors, translators, and illustrators, and a subject index.

Because an extensive amount of material on Dreiser has

ppeared in print since the last secondary bibliography of reiser was published over ten years ago (Pizer, Dowell and usch. G.K. Hall, 1975), a new bibliography was certainly eeded. Unfortunately, however, a bibliography like Boswell's as not. Her workmanship can only be described as careless and loppy, perhaps because of her desire to keep to a schedule of new work practically every year.

One does not have to look far to find evidence of careessness. Among the 18 entries on the first two pages of the ibliography, one includes a wrong date and volume number no. 6), two give wrong page numbers (nos. 11 and 14), two cite nterviews given by Dreiser instead of works about him (nos. 9 nd 16), and one cites a work by Dreiser under an incorrect itle (no. 18). Some of these mistakes appeared in Ralph iller's preliminary checklist of Dreiser (Western Michigan College Library, 1947) and were repeated in Hugh Atkinson's hecklist (Kent State U. Press, 1971) and some appeared for he first time in Atkinson. But regardless of the source, every one of these mistakes was corrected in the 1975 Hall vibliography. Why didn't Boswell check it, if she didn't want o take the time, as she should have, to verify the works she cites?

Apparently Boswell did not check the 1975 Hall bibliography when she listed reviews either. For these citations she content to repeat the often incomplete and sometimes rroneous information in Jack Salzman's compilation of reviews (Theodore Dreiser: The Critical Reception, David Lewis, 1972). Inder Burton Rascoe, for instance, she fails to include page numbers for five entries, and under William Marion Reedy, she lites the wrong name of a serial (no. 1297), a wrong page number (no. 1299), an erroneous title, volume number and page number (no. 1300), an incorrect volume number and date (no. 1301), and a wrong volume number (no. 1302). Again, the complete and/or correct information appears in the Hall pibliography; perhaps, Boswell didn't feel the need to check it because she found Salzman's work "superbly edited and arranged to be the best possible scholarship of its kind."

Since Boswell seems content to pass along the mistakes of previous bibliographers, it is not surprising to find sloppiness in her own work as well. To cite just a few examples, she claims that an article published in *Literary Digest* in July of 1930 is a review of *Tragic America*, which was issued in December of 1931 (entry no. 13); she fails to distinguish between Llewellyn Jones' review of *An American Tragedy* and an unsigned editorial he wrote about the novel in January 1926 (no. 806); and she attributes an article I published in *Modern Fiction Studies* to Damon Runyon (no. 1353).

Despite its serious shortcomings in accuracy, one might still expect Boswell's work to be of some value to Dreiser scholars because of the annotations. But, even in this regard, its usefulness is marginal, at best. Indeed, one gets the impression that the decision to annotate an entry (note that the subtitle states "selective annotations") was based on availability rather than quality or the needs of the user. Time and again, works that are often reprinted or that appeared in journals held in almost every college library--in other words, works that are well-known or readily available -- are annotated, and works that may be of value or interest to the scholar, but are not accessible except through interlibrary loan, are not. For example, one is not given annotations for Richard Dowell's essays in the Indiana English Journal, Philip Gerber's essay in Carnegie Magazine, Yoshinobu Hakutani's articles in Discourse and Zeitschrift fur Anglistik und Amerikanistik, and Joseph Kwiat's pieces in American Quarterly, Sprache und Literatur, and Americana-Austriaca.

In an address to the Northeast Modern Language Association in 1977 that was subsequently published in *Literary Research Newsletter* (3 (1978) 55.61), Jackson Bryer noted that "with the recent proliferation of enumerative bibliographies, there has not been a concomitant effort to see that all that gets into print is accurate, nearly complete, or carefully organized," and he goes on to suggest that "publishers of ongoing enumerative bibliography series should employ not only series editors who oversee the organization and style of the volumes, but also readers of individual manuscripts . . . who would be asked to evaluate volumes on writers for whom they have a scholarly expertise." One wishes the Scarecrow Press had paid attention to Bryer's suggestion. If it had, I am quite certain that *Theodore Dreiser and the Critics* would not have been published.

Frederic E. Rusch

THE DREISER NEWSLETTER TO BECOME DREISER STUDIES

Recently I was surprised to find the following entry in an advertisement of rare and first editions: "The Dreiser Newsletter, Spring 1970, wrappers, \$3.00"--six times its original price. As I sat staring at the three-hundred-plus copies of that first issue gathering dust on my bookshelves, my first thought was one of financial well-being. Then I began to take some satisfaction in the fact that the DN had been around long enough to achieve rare-book status--seventeen years. We had no such ambitions at the beginning.

The DN was the brain child of John Brady, a young English instructor who approached Professor Robert P. Saalbach and me in the Spring of 1969 with the idea that we should start a Brady wanted the experience of being managing editor of a publication and reasoned that since Terre Haute was Dreiser's birthplace and Professor Saalbach and I had written dissertations on Dreiser, the DN was a natural for our English Department. The suggestion was timely, for about then Professor Saalbach and I were in the early stages of planning the Dreiser Centennial, to be held at Indiana State University in August 1971. A newsletter seemed the ideal vehicle for announcing our plans and stimulating interest in the celebra-So while Brady secured funding from our department chairperson and set about the task of attracting subscribers, I wrote Dreiser scholars and editors of other newsletters for advice and support.

Joseph Katz, then editor of the Crane Newsletter, responded with a dire warning. He noted that the contributions to a newsletter came in "waves, followed by deep troughs," and unless the editors were prepared on occasion to write the entire issue, we should abandon the idea entirely. "This may sound severe," Katz concluded, "but you will come to view it the best and most realistic advice you may receive." The others I contacted were more encouraging, and seven Dreiser scholars agreed to serve as contributing editors: Hennig Cohen, University of Pennsylvania; Philip L. Gerber, State University College at Brockport, N.Y.; Richard Lehan, UCLA; John McAleer, Boston College; Donald Pizer, Newcomb College,

Tulane University; Claude M. Simpson, Jr., Stanford University; and Neda Westlake, the Charles Patterson Van Pelt Library, University of Pennsylvania. Over the years, we have also been privileged to list on our masthead Ellen Moers, Barnard College; Jack Salzman, Long Island University; Lawrence E. Hussman, Wright State University; T. D. Nostwich, Iowa State University; and Thomas P. Riggio, University of Connecticut. Of the original seven, Gerber, Lehan, McAleer and Westlake (retired) remain with us, and I would like to take this opportunity to thank them for their long and generous service.

The first issue of the DN was sixteen pages in length and contained material which we felt fell within the purview of a newsletter: an airmail interview with W. A. Swanberg; an essay by Donald Pizer on work to be done in Dreiser studies; an inventory of the Dreiser manuscript holdings at Indiana University's Lilly Library by Richard W. Dowell; Philip Gerber's review of Moers' Two Dreisers and Lehan's Theodore Dreiser: His World and His Novels; and a summary of plans for the Dreiser Centennial. The following issues featured much the same fare, with the addition of an annotated checklist of the previous year's Dreiser scholarship.

By the Spring of 1972, however, some changes had taken place. The Centennial, having been celebrated, no longer gave the DN its primary reason for being, but the journal to our minds had proved to be at least a modest success. Our subscriptions numbered well over two hundred, and exchanges with other journals were enriching our departmental library; the DN's size had grown to 24 and 28 pages; and the submissions were numerous and of a scholarly nature. Also, Ellen Moers, then a contributing editor, was bubbling with ambitious plans for us. So we saw no reason to do other than continue the journal.

Over the years, my personal association with the DN has been a happy marriage. Some of my most rewarding friendships in the profession have resulted from my role as editor. Also, I was most flattered in 1971 when Robert Penn Warren turned to us for help with his Homage to Theodore Dreiser, calling the DN "the mother church of Dreiser studies." I have been pleased to see in American Literary Scholarship and other bibliograph ical works that the DN articles are typically well regarded and comprise an impressive portion of each year's scholarly output. And I have been gratified to learn on occasion that we have accepted a young scholar's first published article and thereby provided much-needed encouragement at a crucial time. This year at the MLA Convention, one such scholar, now with an impressive bibliography to his credit, told me that his submission to the DN ended a string of rejections. Had we not

ccepted the essay, he would have spent what turned out to be most productive summer painting his house. For these and ertainly other reasons, I am convinced that the *DN* has served worthwhile function for all concerned.

But, alas, Joe Katz' prediction has come true. Over the ast five years, the manuscript contributions have slowed to a rickle. As a result I have written and published more than s seemly for an editor to place in his own journal. I have lso gone repeatedly to my contributing editors, hat in hand, o say that the cupboard is bare. And they have never failed ie. Clearly, however, this is not a healthy state, so a ecision must be made. When Matthew J. Bruccoli "killed" the 'itzgerald Newsletter in 1968, he did so because "its work ras done." I do not believe that the work of the DN has been completed. Rather, with the increased interest and scholarthip doubtless to be stimulated by the Pennsylvania Edition, here would seem to be an even greater need for a journal that vill stay abreast of the activity. We definitely wish to remain a part of what promises to be a rich period in Dreiser On the other hand, we feel that for some time the DN nas exceeded the scholarly ambitions and expectations typically issociated with a newsletter. Thus, having consulted with some of the contributing editors and other persons involved in the production of the journal, we have decided to advertise these ambitions and expectations by becoming Dreiser Studies, starting with the Spring 1987 issue.

How this change will affect our format and publication schedule remains to be seen. Hopefully, we will receive the number of quality manuscripts to justify our publishing a larger journal featuring longer articles, but for the present we will stay alive by continuing as we have in the immediate past and allow the evolution to take place naturally. It is of course our hope that this name change will signal the beginning of an even more satisfying and significant phase in the history of our journal. In the meantime, should any reader of the DN wish to purchase the Spring 1970 issue—obviously destined to become a collector's item—he or she can do so through our office for \$1.25, no wrappers.

Richard W. Dowell

PENNSYLVANIA EDITION OF THEODORE DREISER ANNOUNCED

The officers of the University of Pennsylvania, the University Press, and the University of Pennsylvania Library have announced the formation of the Pennsylvania Edition of Theodore Dreiser, under the general editorship of Professor Thomas P. Riggio. The Pennsylvania Dreiser Edition will be comparable to such projects as the Twain Edition centered at Berkeley and the Thoreau Edition at Princeton University. It will seek to publish authoritative editions of Dreiser's books, as well as his journalism, letters, diaries, and related writing.

Several projects are already underway, and they will be incorporated under the new Pennsylvania Edition guidelines. These projects include Dreiser's European diaries, edited by Rolf Lunden; Newspaper Days, edited by T. D. Nostwich; Dawn, edited by T. D. Nostwich and Thomas P. Riggio; and Jennie Gerhardt, edited by James L. W. West III. In addition, there will be projects related to, but not officially included in the Nostwich's edition of Dreiser's newspaper writings of the 1890s and Riggio's two-volume edition of the Dreiser-Mencken correspondence, the latter scheduled to appear in the fall of 1986. Clearly, these and other projects initiated by the Edition will stimulate more interest in and work on Dreiser, particularly since so much new material will be made available to the scholarly community. Nostwich's Newspaper Days, for example, will present a good deal of writing that has remained in manuscript to this point; this will also be true of Dawn, as well as most books that will be part of the Edition.

As General Editor, Riggio's major functions are to organize and chair the editorial board; to oversee the creation of a standard editorial manual; to appoint appropriate textual scholars to edit Dreiser's major works; to plan a publication schedule for the Edition; to work with the University of Pennsylvania Press in designing and producing the volumes; and to seek external support for editorial and publication costs. A further aim of the Dreiser Edition will be to supplement the

reiser Collection whenever possible; thus, Professor Riggio equests that anyone who knows the whereabouts of fugitive reiser papers contact him so that copies may be sought. He ill also be happy to answer any questions regarding the fition. Such questions can be directed to him c/o the English epartment, University of Connecticut, Storrs, CT 06268, or /o the Rare Book Collection, Van Pelt Library, University of ennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA 19104.

Richard W. Dowell

MARGUERITE TJADER HARRIS: A REMEMBRANCE

On April 7th of this year Marguerite Tjader Harris died after a two-month illness at age eighty-four in Miami, Florida. Its. Harris served as literary secretary to Dreiser between .928, when they first met, and the early 1940s. In 1944 she loined Dreiser at his request in California, where he was working on his Quaker novel, The Bulwark. She helped him see it through to its conclusion by the summer of 1945, a few months before his death.

Mrs. Harris was the author of a novel, Borealis, published in 1930. Between 1937 and 1945, Direction magazine, which she founded and edited, featured important contributors. Among them were Dreiser, Dos Passos, and Erskine Caldwell. Her 1965 book Theodore Dreiser: A New Dimension brought an original perspective to Dreiser studies, informed as it was with her knowledge of the novelist's late spiritual affirmation. A decade later she prepared, with John McAleer, the University of Alabama Press edition of Dreiser's Notes on Life. She wrote two religious books, Mother Elizabeth (1972) and Birgitta of Sweden (1980). At the time of her death she left unpublished manuscripts of two novels and a study of the architect Le Corbusier, as well as an intriguing memoir about Dreiser called The Lust of the Goat Is the Bounty of God.

I first met Marguerite during the Dreiser Centennial observances at Terre Haute in 1971. I knew her New Dimension book at the time and was anxious to talk with her about the last years of the great novelist's life, the special focus of my doctoral dissertation. We became friends immediately. She was a compelling personality with an uncommon strength of

character and conviction. In the ensuing years we corresponde regularly and exchanged ideas about Dreiser and our various writing projects. I had the privilege of working with her on the Dreiser papers at the University of Pennsylvania's Van Pell Library. In 1981 she came to Dayton to make an English department colloquium presentation at Wright State and to speak to the students in my graduate seminar devoted to Dreiser's novels She was a great success in both appearances, charming her audiences with her special anecdotes and insights.

I also had the pleasure of visiting Marguerite at her beautiful home in Darien, Connecticut. She lived in a carriage house at Vikingsborg, her family's estate on Long Island Sound. The carriage house was one of seven buildings on the grounds. The others she had donated to an order of Swedish nums who had established the Convent of St. Birgitta in them. I well remember Marguerite guiding me on a tour of the main building with its many art objects. She had collected the pieces from all over the world and had commissioned the chapel's marvelous stained-glass windows.

After meeting Dreiser, Marguerite was without doubt his most passionately loyal advocate. In her writing and speaking about him she always enthusiastically championed his literary reputation. Some of the readers of this Newsletter will remember her ardent statement of his significance at the last MLA special Dreiser session. The zeal with which she always urged the cause made her controversial among critics and scholars. I, for one, admired her intense love of Dreiser and his work, a love that, to borrow a phrase from her favorite novel, The Bulwark, was an "intimate relation to the very heart of being."

Lawrence E. Hussman

DREISER NEWS & NOTES

G. P. Putnam's Sons has published Richard Lingeman's heodore Dreiser: Volume One: At the Gates of the City, 371-1907, which is scheduled for review in our Spring 1987 ssue. . . . Harold J. Dies, executor of the Dreiser Estate, nforms us that the American National Theatre has decided not o take up their option to produce the 1981 version of Sister arrie. In the Spring 1986 issue of the DN, we quoted Stuart hompson, then Co-Executive Director, that the play might go nto production in late spring or early summer. Thompson has ince left the American National Theatre. . . . While admitting hat the 'name Theodore Dreiser might seem far removed from the litter and high seriousness that we associate with Forbes' nnual celebration of the 400 richest people in America," Peter aida of Forbes 400 (October 27, 1986) has credited Dreiser ith "the fullest and most ambitious treatment of the career of business tycoon that any American novelist has managed" and as challenged Masterpiece Theatre "to take a close look at reiser's trilogy--well over 1,000 pages about money, sex and ower."

INDEX TO VOLUMES XVI-XVII

ARTICLES

- Dowell, Richard W. Dreiser No Poker Expert Either. XVI:i, 13.
- Gerber, Philip L. The Tangled Web: Offstage Acting in Sister Carrie. XVII:ii, 1.
- Griffin, Joseph. Dreiser's Later Sketches. XVI:ii, 1.
- Hochman, Barbara. Goethe's Faust: A Leitmotif in Dreiser's The 'Genius'. XVI:i, I.

- Nostwich, T. D. Dreiser's Apocryphal Fly Story. XVII:i, 1.
- Riggio, Thomas P. Farrell, Masters and Mencken on Dreiser: The Los Angeles Public Library Celebration. XVII:i, 10.
- Rusch, Frederic E. A Dreiser Checklist 1983-1984. XVI:ii, 14
- . A Dreiser Checklist, 1985. XVII:ii, 9.

REVIEWS

- Boswell, Jeanetta. Theodore Dreiser and the Critics, 1911-1982: A Bibliography with Selective Annotations (Reviewed by Frederic E. Rusch). XVII:ii, 14.
- Bowlby, Rachel. Just Looking: Consumer Culture in Dreiser, Gissing and Zola (Reviewed by Richard W. Dowell). XVII:i, 16.
- Fisher, Philip. Hard Facts: Setting and Form in the American Novel (Reviewed by Philip L. Gerber). XVII:i, 17.
- Griffin, Joseph. The Small Canvas: An Introduction to
 Dreiser's Short Stories And James L. W. West III. A
 Sister Carrie Portfolio (Reviewed by Frederic E. Rusch).
 XVII:i, 20.
- Hakutani, Yoshinobu ed. Selected Magazine Articles of Theodore Dreiser: Life and Art in the American 1890s (Reviewed by Richard W. Dowell). XVI:ii, 23.
- Raja, L. Jeganatha ed. Theodore Dreiser: The Man and His Message (Reviewed by Lawrence Hussman). XVI:i, 22.

MISCELLANEOUS

- Dreiser News & Notes. XVI:i, 24; XVI:ii, 24; XVII:i, 23; XVII:ii, 23.
- The Dreiser Newsletter to Become Dreiser Studies. XVII:ii, 17.
- Dr. Neda Westlake Retires. XVI:i, 20.
- In Memoriam. XVII:i, 9.
- Late Tribute to Dreiser in Germany. XVI:i, 18.
- Marguerite Tjader Harris: A Remembrance. XVII:ii, 21.
- Pennsylvania Edition of Theodore Dreiser Announced. XVII:ii, 20.